

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 KENYA N. SCOTT,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

8 Defendant.  
9

Case No. 2:17-cv-02557-RFB-CWH

**ORDER**

10 Presently before this Court is a referral (ECF No. 11) from the United States Court of  
11 Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit has instructed this Court to determine whether  
12 Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status should continue for her appeal. An appeal may not be taken  
13 *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith. 28 U.S.C.  
14 § 1915(a)(3). An appeal's good faith requirement is satisfied if it seeks review of any issue that is  
15 "not frivolous." *Gardner v. Pogue*, 558 F.2d 548, 551 (9th Cir. 1977). The Ninth Circuit has  
16 previously held that "[i]f at least one issue or claim is found to be non-frivolous, leave to proceed  
17 in forma pauperis on appeal must be granted for the case as a whole." *Hooker v. Am. Airlines*,  
18 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002).

19 On October 11, 2017, the Court granted Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma*  
20 *pauperis* (ECF No. 4), finding that Plaintiff was unable to pay the filing fee in District Court. In  
21 the same order, the Court also ordered that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without prejudice  
22 for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Plaintiff was given thirty days in  
23 which to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff did not file an amended complaint, but on  
24 November 14, 2017, did file a four-line notice to the Court (ECF No. 6) that addressed some, but  
25 not all, of the deficiencies noted in her complaint. On December 1, 2017, Judge Hoffman issued  
26 a report and recommendation (ECF No. 7) that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without  
27 prejudice. Plaintiff did not file an objection. On January 22, 2018, Judge Boulware entered an  
28

1 order accepting and adopting the report and recommendation. ECF No. 8. The case was then  
2 closed.

3 On March 23, 2018, Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal (ECF No. 9), which claims that “the  
4 judge’s findings and ruling are insufficient.” Plaintiff’s notice of appeal re-alleges that her social  
5 security benefits were improperly denied, but does not argue that any specific aspect of Judge  
6 Boulware’s order dismissing her complaint was erroneous. Considering the lack of any specific  
7 objection to the Court’s orders, as well as Plaintiff’s failure to object to the report and  
8 recommendation, the Court finds Plaintiff’s appeal is frivolous. The Court therefore determines  
9 that Plaintiff should not be granted *in forma pauperis* status for her appeal.

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff’s *in forma pauperis* status is revoked for  
11 purposes of her appeal.

12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall provide a copy of this order to the Ninth  
13 Circuit.

14 DATED: April 4, 2018

15  
16   
17 C.W. HOFFMAN, JR.  
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28